Form 2 Evaluation Plan and Report - Traumatic Brain Injury

Student Name:	File Review Number:
Supervisory Union:	
School/Placement:	Child Count #:
Date of Birth:/ Case Manager:	
Grade Level: Gender: Review Date://	_Reviewer's Initials:
General File Information: Access Log included? Educational Surrogate appointed and letter in file? Due Process, Mediation, Administrative Complaints on file? Does eligibility decision match Child Count data? Was the student a drop-out? Were services offered to the drop-out student?	Yes No N/A
Check one: Date of Evaluation Plan (for record reviews) Date of Parental Consent (for new testing situation)	ions)
Date Consent was received in District (if filled i	
Date of Report:	/
Completion of the Final Report exceeded 60 days: Yes	No □ # of days
Appropriate Notice of Delay (exceptional circumstance) do	cumented: Yes No No N/A
Comments:	
Check each box for the individuals that were involved in the develo	opment of the Evaluation Plan:
☐ Parent ☐ Student ☐ LEA R ☐ Special Educator ☐ Classroom Educator ☐ Person	depresentative to interpret educational implications
Check each box for the individuals that initialed their agreement wi	ith the Evaluation Report.
	depresentative to interpret educational implications
Dischility Determinedien	
Questions were appropriate to determine disability Answers included documentation that: The existence of a traumatic brain injury and its effect on the stude areas of cognition, language, memory, attention, reasoning, judgm solving, sensory, perceptual and motor abilities. As determined by a licensed physician Team conclusion section was completed.	•

Other Disability Area(s) Sus pected:							
☐ Autism ☐ Deaf-Blind ☐ Deaf / Hard	d of Hea	ring	☐ Developmental Delay				
☐ Emotional Disturbance ☐ Learning In	mpaired		☐ Orthopedic Impairment				
☐ Other Health Impairment ☐ Specific Learning Disability ☐ Speech/Language Impairment							
☐ Traumatic Brain Injury ☐ Visual Impairment							
Assessment Areas Evaluated:			Appropriate Personnel Identified:				
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes No			
Cognitive Testing:							
Social/Emotional Testing:							
Adaptive Behavior Assessment:							
Achievement/ Educational Testing:							
Speech/Language Testing:							
Motor Skills Testing:							
Physical/Health Evaluations:							
Functional Behavioral Assessment:							
Other Assessment Area(s):							
N.							
Notes:							

Form 2 Evaluation Plan and Report - Adverse Effect		. .				
Questions were appropriate to determine adverse effect?		Yes	No			
Were at least three of the five adverse effect areas evaluated and found within the lowest 15 th percentile, lowest 15 th percent of the class, or 1.0 standard deviation below the mean?						
Standard or percentile scores on an individually administered, nationally-normed achievement test Grades, or the lack of grades due to refusal to complete assignments Criterion-referenced or group administered norm-referenced test(s) Student work, language samples, or portfolios	es	No				
Team conclusion section was completed.						
Notes:						
Form 2 Evaluation Plan and Report - Need for Special Education Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education?		Yes	No			
	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instru	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems.	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems. Team conclusion section was completed.	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems. Team conclusion section was completed.	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems. Team conclusion section was completed.	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems. Team conclusion section was completed.	uction	d specia				
Questions were appropriate to determine the need for special education? Did the team document a need for special education that included that the student redesigned instruction which could not be provided within the school standard instructions, as created by the school's comprehensive educational support systems. Team conclusion section was completed.	uction	d specia				

Decision of the Evaluation and Planning Team						
Decision of the Division which I willing I cam	Yes	No	N/A			
The final page of Form 2 of the Evaluation Report was completed?			14/71			
	H	H				
Disability category was listed accurately based on team decision?		Ш				
If ineligible, reasons were listed and other recommendations and			_			
accommodations were made by the EPT?	Ш					
Additional File Information						
Does the file show evidence that re-evaluations were conducted within a	throp s	ar chai	2			
Does the the show evidence that re-evaluations were conducted within a						
	Yes	No	N/A			
		Ш				
Form 7 Notice of Local Educational Agency Decision						
If the school has decided not to implement a request, or agree with the decision						
If the school has decided not to implement a request, or agree with the decision	▼7	N.T	N T/A			
of the Evaluation and Planning Team, there was documented evidence of	Yes	No	N/A			
written notification to the parent?	Ш	Ш				
Did the notice include the effective date of the decision?						
Form 8 Transition from Family Infant Toddler Project to Essential Early Education						
The file contained documentation that a letter on transition was sent	Yes	No	N/A			
		110	TV/A			
to the parents and school six months prior to the child's third birthday.						
The file contained documentation that the school participated in a						
transition meeting for the child that was held at least 90						
days prior to the child's third birthday.						
If the child transitioned from the Family Infant Toddler Program, there						
is documentation that Form 8 was signed by the parents?						
Was the date it was received in the District filled in?	H	H	H			
	Ш	ш				
Was an IEP developed at age three for this student transferring from						
the Family Infant Toddler Program?						
Date of initial placement in Part C.		_//				
Date of initial placement in Part B.		_//				
•						
Notes:						